UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: 1/26/19
IN RE GREENSKY SECURITIES LITIGATION	ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART MOTIONS TO DISMISS 18 Civ. 11071 (AKH)
ALVELY ADVIDED ALC DA	- X

USDC SDNY

ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN, U.S.D.J.:

In this securities class action, purchasers of common stock sold by GreenSky, Inc. ("GreenSky") in its initial public offering ("IPO"), bring suit under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") against GreenSky, GreenSky officers and directors, and underwriters who participated in the May 25, 2018 IPO. Plaintiffs' core claim is that GreenSky, in its offering documents, failed adequately to disclose material changes in the composition of GreenSky's business, in violation of Sections 11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act, *see* 15 U.S.C. §§ 77k, 77l(a)(2), 77o, and in violation of Items 303 and 503 of Regulation S-K, *see* 17 C.F.R. §§ 229.303, 229.503(c).

On July 3, 2019, both (1) GreenSky officers and directors and (2) underwriters involved in the IPO, moved to dismiss the second amended complaint for failure to state a claim under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6).

I heard oral argument on these motions to dismiss on November 25, 2019. For the reasons stated on the record, the motions are denied. However, as to plaintiff Employees' Retirement System of the City of Baton Rouge and Parish of East Baton Rouge's claims under Section 12(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, the motion to dismiss is granted because the absence of causation is clear.

Defendants shall file an answer by January 13, 2020. The parties shall attend a case management conference on January 23, 2020, at 2:30 p.m. to chart further progress in this case. The Clerk is hereby directed to close the open motions (ECF Nos. 89, 92, 99, 101).

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

November 2019 New York, New York

United States District Judge